UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,509	01/11/2006	Georges J. Belfort	18001/5054	6242	
Michael L Gold	7590 07/09/200 lman	8	EXAMINER		
Nixon Peabody			CAMERON, ERMA C		
Clinton Square PO Box 31051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY	14603		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/524,509	BELFORT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Erma Cameron/	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	:s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the me	rits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/524,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claims 1 and 23: the use of the term "suitable" makes it unclear if the actions actually occur or not.
- b) Claim 1, last line: it is not clear what the subject of "is" is.
- c) Claim 6, 27 and 44, first line of each: it is not clear what the functional group forms hydrogen bonds WITH.
- d) Claims 6, 27 and 44: it appears that not all of the group are hydrogen bonds, for example salt bridges.

- e) Claims 7, 28 and 45: it is not clear why there is an "or" between polyethylene glycol and ethylene glycol.
- f) Claims 7, 28 and 45: the use of the term "specific" (twice) is vague and indefinite, because the term has not been defined.
- g) Claims 7, 28 and 45: the meaning of "combinatorial-derived strongly binding molecules to protein epitopes or specific amino acids" is not clear.
- h) Claims 7, 28 and 45: the meaning of "strongly" has not been defined, and is therefore indefinite.
- i) Claims 14, 32 and 49: it is not clear what type of "functionalizing" is performed, and therefore these claims are indefinite.
- j) Claim 17: "small" has not been defined and is therefore indefinite.
- k) Claim 22: it is not clear what "polymerized" is modifying. In claim 1, it is the monomer that is polymerized.
- 1) Claim 23: it is not clear if the pores are the same or different from the cavities.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

m) Claims 33 and 50: there is no antecedent basis for "the polymer".

n) Claim 38: the use of the term "potentially" makes the claim indefinite, in that it is not clear

if the fluid actually contains the target molecule or not.

o) Claim 25: there should be a comma after "oxirane group".

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the

enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which

it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 23 lacks the required polymerized monomer in the emulsion, and is therefore not

enabled.

5. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in

the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

[0049] It is not clear how all of the bonds mentioned are hydrogen bonds – for instance, salt

bridges.

6. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in

the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

[0056] It is not clear how toluene is an oil. It is also not clear what is meant by "other

cross-linking agents".

Specification

7. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished

U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is

required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material

is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office.

The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner

representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously

incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Application/Control Number: 10/524,509 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

There are numerous instances of publications – such as in [0025], [0027], [0047] and [0081] – of publications incorporated by reference.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

July 7, 2008